

Council Assembly (Council Tax Setting Meeting)

Wednesday 24 February 2016

7.00 pm

Council Offices, 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2QH

Tabled Items

List of Contents

Item No.	Title	Page No.
1.2.	Notification of any items of business which the mayor deems urgent	1
	Programme Motion.	
2.1.	Policy and Resources Strategy 2016/17 - 2018/19 - Revenue Budget	2 - 4
	Two questions from councillors with responses.	

Contact

Lesley John on 020 7525 7228 or 020 7525 7222 or email: lesley.john@southwark.gov.uk;
andrew.weir@southwark.gov.uk; constitutional.team@southwark.gov.uk

Date: 24 February 2016

SOUTHWARK COUNCIL
COUNCIL ASSEMBLY
(COUNCIL TAX SETTING MEETING)
WEDNESDAY 24 FEBRUARY 2016
PROGRAMME MOTION

That the meeting be conducted as follows:

Item 2.1 Policy and Resources Strategy

1. Consideration of this item is not time limited but the meeting is subject to the guillotine.
2. To give consent of the meeting to an alteration to Amendment D as follows:

In paragraph 3 **delete** 'each year' at the end of 'Reduce amount placed into contingencies by £500,000....'

Revised paragraph 3 to read:

- 3) **Amend** Table 1 (Policy and Resources Strategy 2016/17 – 2018/19: Revenue Budget paper, page 3 of the main agenda) to reflect changed contributions from proposed £4,000,000 contingencies as follows:

'Reduce amount placed into contingencies by £500,000 ~~each year~~.'

3. Debate to include:
 - Questions on reports
 - Councillor Fiona Colley to present recommendations (10 minutes)
 - Councillor Hamish McCallum to reply on behalf of opposition (5 minutes)
 - Four amendments to be moved and seconded
 - Report and Amendments to be debated as a single debate (All speakers 3 minutes each)
 - Reply to the debate from Councillor Fiona Colley (3 minutes)
 - Separate vote on each Amendment
 - Recorded vote on substantive motion.

Members can only speak once, except for Councillor Fiona Colley (cabinet member for finance, modernisation and performance), who will reply to the single debate.

Item 3.1 Setting the Council Tax

To be considered as normal but with the legal requirement to take a recorded vote on the substantive motion.

Other reports

To be considered as normal.

COUNCIL ASSEMBLY
(COUNCIL TAX SETTING MEETING)

WEDNESDAY 24 FEBRUARY 2016

**QUESTIONS ON REPORT: ITEM 2.1 POLICY AND RESOURCE STRATEGY
2016/17 TO 2018/19: REVENUE BUDGET**

1. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, MODERNISATION AND PERFORMANCE FROM COUNCILLOR ELEANOR KERSLAKE

As part of the Local Government Settlement the government announced an additional £300m 'transitional' funding to ease budget difficulties. How was this funding allocated in London?

RESPONSE

Following on from the provisional grant settlement, the government announced transitional grant funding to ease budget difficulties for councils taking relatively high cuts. This followed significant lobbying not only from shire district and county councils but also from some outer London boroughs who felt unfairly compromised by the settlement. More than 70% of the £150m transitional funding for councils in 2016/17 has been allocated to counties.

It is unfortunate that while inner London boroughs like Southwark have taken the bulk of funding cuts over the last five years, no such transitional relief was given. In London, none of the 12 inner London boroughs received any funding, despite facing over £50m more cuts than the 20 outer London boroughs combined.

Between 2010 and 2017/18, Southwark will have lost over £130m in government funding – more than most of the councils which have received transitional funding. Of the 232 councils which have received transitional funding for 2016/17 and/or 2017/18, 225 (97%) have had funding cuts lower than Southwark. Surrey County Council, for example, will receive an additional £24m in transition funding in 2016/17 and 2017/18, despite having a lower reduction in government funding than Southwark.

The table below demonstrates the inequality of the way in which this government continues to treat those councils most severely impacted by their funding cutbacks. The funding splits in London outlined in this table speak for themselves.

Local Authority	Transition Grant 2016/17	Transition Grant 2017/18	Total Transition Grant	Reduction in government Funding (2010/11 to 2016/17)	Reduction in government Funding (2010/11 to 2017/18)
	£ m	£ m	£ m	£ m	£m
England	150.000	150.000	300.000		

Local Authority	Transition Grant 2016/17	Transition Grant 2017/18	Total Transition Grant	Reduction in government Funding (2010/11 to 2016/17)	Reduction in government Funding (2010/11 to 2017/18)
Camden	0.000	0.000	0.000	-93.140	-105.192
Greenwich	0.000	0.000	0.000	-82.376	-92.600
Hackney	0.000	0.000	0.000	-114.681	-126.918
Hammersmith and Fulham	0.000	0.000	0.000	-62.436	-70.277
Islington	0.000	0.000	0.000	-84.667	-40.493
Kensington and Chelsea	0.000	0.000	0.000	-57.790	-117.214
Lambeth	0.000	0.000	0.000	-111.647	-125.429
Lewisham	0.000	0.000	0.000	-93.202	-104.938
Southwark	0.000	0.000	0.000	-116.519	-130.124
Tower Hamlets	0.000	0.000	0.000	-116.211	-128.910
Wandsworth	0.000	0.000	0.000	-74.432	-83.045
Westminster	0.000	0.000	0.000	-90.427	-100.485
Total Inner London	0.000	0.000	0.000	-1,097.529	-1,225.625
Barking and Dagenham	0.000	0.000	0.000	-46.996	-53.887
Barnet	1.422	1.427	2.849	-56.742	-69.120
Bexley	0.725	0.713	1.438	-36.557	-44.065
Brent	0.000	0.000	0.000	-84.023	-95.732
Bromley	2.068	2.052	4.119	-42.879	-52.624
Croydon	0.418	0.418	0.836	-66.752	-79.643
Ealing	0.000	0.000	0.000	-79.102	-91.077
Enfield	0.000	0.000	0.000	-61.168	-72.337
Haringey	0.000	0.000	0.000	-80.284	-91.207
Harrow	0.712	0.699	1.411	-36.830	9.071
Havering	1.373	1.360	2.733	-32.913	-46.626
Hillingdon	0.517	0.515	1.031	-47.106	-36.044
Hounslow	0.000	0.000	0.000	-51.911	-56.784
Kingston upon Thames	1.305	1.288	2.593	-24.840	-30.880
Merton	0.567	0.557	1.123	-38.867	-45.846
Newham	0.000	0.000	0.000	-107.052	-119.332
Redbridge	0.000	0.000	0.000	-47.926	-56.788
Richmond upon Thames	2.910	2.920	5.830	-21.217	-29.691
Sutton	1.343	1.333	2.676	-32.439	-39.704
Waltham Forest	0.000	0.000	0.000	-60.208	-69.966
Total Outer London	13.359	13.281	26.639	-1,055.812	-1,172.284
Total	13.359	13.281	26.639	-2,153.341	-2,397.909

2. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, MODERNISATION AND PERFORMANCE FROM COUNCILLOR KARL EASTHAM

The budget report sets out the council's intention to contribute £6m of reserves to the annual general fund balance in 2016/17 to relieve pressure on services. Is the cabinet member confident the council will still have sufficient ability to manage potential risks despite this proposal?

RESPONSE

Sound levels of balances and reserves are critical to the financial health of local authorities; and you are quite right to point out their importance.

Unlike the vast majority of other London councils, Southwark has used the planned uses of balances to support our services since 2010/11 when we started to see the full impact of reductions in government funding. Since 2010/11, £26m has been used to soften the effects of these cutbacks; a further £15m is planned to be used over the next three years as indicated in the budget and indicative budgets presented to council assembly over the next three years; a total of £41m that has helped us to manage the consequences of the austerity cuts and to allow us to transform progressively and in a structured way.

In no small part, contingency provision made year on year has helped us to make this investment in our services. This contingency has allowed us to mitigate the risks inherent in our budgets for the ambitious savings targets that we have had to set and the demand pressures that we continue to face.

It is also helpful to refer to the budget report being considered at this council assembly and especially the comparison of balances and reserves across similar London boroughs. In 2014/15, balances fell as planned, but this trend was exceptional in London as the vast majority of other councils saw an increase. It is extremely important that the position is kept under careful scrutiny as Southwark reserves and balances remain relatively low, not least given the size of the council and the progressive programme of regeneration, development and modernisation to which we are committed.